

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,717	09/21/2006	Ikuo Nuno	0121/0059	3118
LOUIS WOO	7590 09/01/201	EXAMINER		
LAW OFFICE	OF LOUIS WOO	FISHER, MICHAEL J		
717 NORTH FAYETTE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		3689	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/593,717	NUNO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL J. FISHER	3689	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

1)	M	Notice
----	---	--------

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) \_\_\_ Notice of Informal Patent Application. 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 3689

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the

conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are directed toward a "a recording medium on which is recorded...", the medium is not strictly delineated to a physical medium and therefore, could be merely a signal and as such, would be non-statutory.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4,12,20,28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terms "SVG and SVGZ are proprietary names and the owners could change what they mean thereby making the scope of the claims unclear and indefinite.

Note: For examination purposes, it will be assumed that SVG and SVGZ are "industry standard formats"

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/593,717 Page 3

Art Unit: 3689

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,9,17,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US PAT 5,794,257 to Liu et al. (Liu).

As to claims 1,9,17,25, Liu discloses an electronic service manual generator (abstract, first line) with an original data acquisition step (fig 1), a structure definition acquisition step (14), a structured data generation step based on the structure definition step (42, fig 4), the computer employs the original data.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,717

Art Unit: 3689

 Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2-4,10-12, 18-20 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu.

As to claims 2,10,18,26, Liu discloses the original text is obtained (as it obtains the original document, it would have original text), it includes original drawing structure (col 5, lines 47-50), a parts data table is obtained and used (col 5, lines 56-62). Liu does not, however, specifically teach a "voltage value table", it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a voltage value table as these are old and well known to be necessary for electronic equipment and therefore, it would be included in the manual as Liu discloses a complete manual.

As to claims 3,11,19,27, Liu discloses the structure definition information (the manual), it includes original drawing structure (col 5, lines 47-50), a parts data table is obtained and used (col 5, lines 56-62).

Liu does not, however, specifically teach an "IC function table", it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include an IC function table as these are old and well known to be necessary for electronic equipment and therefore, it would be included in the manual as Liu discloses a complete manual.

As to claims 4,12,20, 28, as best understood, Liu does not specifically teach using XML, however, XML is old and well known in the art as being useful for hyperlinks and Liu discloses the manual as having hyperlinks (title), therefore, it would be obvious to use XML to create the hyperlinks. It further would be obvious to use industry standard formats so that they manuals could be read easily.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,717

Art Unit: 3689

Claims 5,6,13,14,21,22,29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu as applied to claims 1-4,9-12, 17-20 and 25-28 above, and further in view of US PAT 6,295,542 to Corbin.

As to claims 5,6,13,14,21,22,29 and 30, Liu discloses a manual generation method and system as discussed above, including a parts generation step including drawings.

Liu does not, however, teach having a comparison section for comparing related features

Corbin discloses a method of for cross-referencing related text (title) in a hyperlinked document (abstract, ines 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system as disclosed by Liu with the comparison section cross-referencing related parts as taught by Corbin as both are related to hyperlinked documents and Corbin teaches this as a good way to allow a user to see related links.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL J. FISHER whose telephone number is (571)272-6804. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30am-5:00pm alt Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janice Mooneyham can be reached on 571-272-6805. The fax phone Application/Control Number: 10/593,717 Page 6

Art Unit: 3689

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael J Fisher/ Examiner, Art Unit 3689 MF 8/30/10